

## Appendix A: Thunderbird Trust

## Project Rating Assessment for supports to Groups

Rating Criteria	Score
<b>Section A – Information about you</b>	
1. Name of applicant & co-applicant complete. [see Q. A1-4] <span style="float: right;">Yes-1 No-0</span>	/1
2. Two pieces of ID included [see Q-A5] <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <sup>st</sup> piece of ID -1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <sup>nd</sup> piece of ID - 1	/2
3. Identifies the years of existence: The request identifies benefits [see Q-A.7] <span style="float: right;">Yes-1 No-0</span>	/1
4. Identifies partners or collaborations: The request identifies any partners or potential collaborations [see Q-A.8] <span style="float: right;">Yes-1 No-0</span>	/1
5. Identifies previous experience in organizing activities and lists them: [see Q-A.9] <span style="float: right;">Yes-1 No-0</span>	/1
6. Identifies volunteers involved in project: [see Q-A.9] <span style="float: right;">Yes-2 No-0</span>	/2
<b>SECTION B: INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR PROJECT</b>	
7. Project Description: Effectively identifies need and its importance to the member [see Q-B.2] 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	/5
8. Goals of the project: Identifies clear goals [see Q-B.6] 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	/5
9. Identifies benefits to members of Chippewa: [see Q-B.7] <span style="float: right;">Yes-1 No-0</span>	/1
10. CCP Alignment: The request identifies CCP strategies [see Q-B.8] 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member	/5
11. Project beneficiaries: Identifies individuals/groups that will benefit [see Q-B.9] <span style="float: right;">Yes-1 No-0</span>	/1
<b>SECTION C: INFORMATION ON FINANCES &amp; BUDGET</b>	
12. Matching Funds to date: Identifies sources of funds raised and/or matching funds [Q-C1&2]: 0- No funds raised to date 3- minimum of 5% of value of project has been raised already 5- over 10% of budget has been fundraised to date	/5
13. Proof of Matching Funds provided: [Q-C1&2]: <span style="float: right;">Yes-2 No-0</span>	/2
14. Has activities planned in future for raising additional funds (Sect. 6.2 Trust agreement [Q-C3]) 0- no additional fundraising is planned 3 - one activity is planned to fundraise 5 - two or more activities are planned to fundraise	/5
15. Expenses align with request and provide a breakdown of costs that include quotes. [Q-C4] 0 – Budget is not provided 1– Budget line items are included but do not describe specific costs 3 - Budget line items with some details 5- Budget aligns with project description, includes all activities, and provides details of breakdown	/5
<b>Page 1 Sub-total</b>	<b>/42</b>

## Appendix A: Thunderbird Trust

## Project Rating Assessment for supports to Groups

Rating Criteria			Score
16. Supporting documentation provided, including quotes [Q-C4]	Yes-2	No-0	/2
17. Identifies how it will recognize Trust contributions: [see Q-B.9]	Yes-1	No-0	/1
<b>SECTION D: Work Plan</b>			
18. Work Plan: The request identifies a clear work plan [see Q-D] 0 – no rationale or reason provided in work plan 3 – some information provided, but unclear 5 – workplan clearly identifies outcomes, activities and timelines			/5
<b>E: CHECKLIST</b>			
19. The Group is recognized by Chief & Council on list or by letter.	Yes-2	No-0	/2
20. The applicant has provided letter(s) of support.	Yes-2	No-0	/2
<b>Project Intangibles</b>			
21. This project will provide a story for the community and address an urgent member's needs 0 – no rationale or reason provided for this support 3 – some rationale or reason provided, but unclear 5 – description clearly identifies why the project support is needed by member			/5
<b>Signed Declaration</b>			
23. The applicant has signed off on the application consent & declaration.	Yes-1	No-0	/1
<b>Overall Score Application</b>			
24. Trustees will score the overall application based on content and quality 1-10 – on a scale of 1-10 score the overall rating of the application.			/10
<b>Page 2 Sub-total</b>			<b>/28</b>
<b>Page 1 Sub-total</b>			<b>/42</b>
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>/70</b>
<p><b>Project Assessment Declaration:</b></p> <p>I have reviewed the application for funds from the Thunderbird Trust and confirm that</p> <p>I rated this project with a score of: _____ Points</p> <p>This score is not to be considered finite and is to be used as a relative score to compare this project with other projects received in this call. Given another set of circumstances and rated against different projects, this project may score differently. For that reason, this assessment and rating can only be used during the assessment of the Call for Proposals 2022.</p> <p>I declare that I have completed this review and will abide by the overall results made up of all trustees' ratings provided my score is truly and accurately reflected in that assessment.</p> <p><b>Signed By:</b></p> <p>_____</p> <p>Name of Trustee or designate                      Signature                      Date</p>			